MAL FAX CENTER
NO. 489 PRI1

AUG 0 8 2007

WILEY REIN LLP FAX CTR Fax: 202-718-7049

Mar 30 2007 15:36 P.08

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No. 10/608,257 Office action dated November 30, 2005 Response dated March 30, 2007

MAR 3 0 2007

REMARKS

After entry of this amendment, claims 1 and 3-18 will be pending. Claims 3, 4, 10, and 13 stand withdrawn from consideration. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating the allowability of claims 1, 5-9, 11, 12, and 14-18.

Claim 19 was rejected in the Office action. Without conceding the propriety of the rejection, and in order to advance prosecution, claim 19 is cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants expressly reserve the right to pursue at least claim 19 in one or more continuation applications.

Applicants submit that the cancellation of claim 19 places the application in condition for allowance. Entry of this amandment is therefore respectfully requested.

Applicants have thoroughly reviewed the Office action, including the Examiner's remarks and the references cited therein. Applicants submit that the following remarks are fully responsive to the Office action, and that all pending claims are patentable over the cited references.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 5 102(b)

The Examiner rejects claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by United States patent no. 6,120,500 to Bednarek et al. ("Bednarek"). Though Applicanta respectfully disagree with the Examinar, the rejection is most in view of the cancellation of claim 19 herein in favor of one or more continuation spolications.

Withdrawn Claims

Claims 3, 4, 10, and 13 were withdrawn in response to a restriction requirement.
Claims 3 and 4 depend from, and are allowable for at least the same reasons as, claim
1. Claims 10 and 13 depend from, and are allowable for at least the same reasons as, claim 8. In light of the ellowance of generic claims 1 and 8, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider and indicate the allowability of the withdrawn claims. If the Examiner believes that an amendment is necessary to consider and allow the withdrawn claims, the Examiner Is requested to contact the undersigned.

Page 5 of 6

PAGE 49 * RCVD AT 3/39/2007 3:34:25 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * 6VR.USPTO-EFXRF-3/13 * DMS:2738306 * CBID:292 710 7848 * DURATION (sum-es):42-68

PAGE 11/31 * RCVD AT 8/8/2007 6:17:49 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):08-46

WILEY REIN, LLP FAX CTR Fax: 202-719-7049

Har 30 2007 15:36 P. 09

Application No. 10/608,257 Office action dated November 30, 2008 Response dated March 30, 2007 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 3 0 2007

CONCLUSION

In view...of..the...foregoing..remarks. Applicants..respectfully...eubmit their the application is in condition for allowence, and request that all rejections be withdrawn, that all pending claims be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue. If, for any reason, the Examiner finds the application to be in other than condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to context the undersigned in an effort to resolve any matter still outstanding before issuing another sotion.

Applicants have provided for a one-month extension of time herewith. Should a further extension of time be deemed necessary for this paper to be considered timely. Applicants hereby petition therefor under 37 C.F.R. § 1,138.

Authorization is hereby granted to charge any fees due with the filing of this document, including any fees for any further extensions of time deemed necessary, to Deposit Account No. 50-1129 with reference to Attorney Docket No. 0B-044301U3/82410-0014.

Respectfully submitted,

WILEY REIN LLP

Date: March 30, 2007

Av

Floyd/8. Chapman Registration No. 40,656 Scott A. Felder Registration No. 47,558

WILEY REIN LLP Attn: Petent Administration 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: 202.719.7000 Face/mile: 202.719.7040

Page 6 of 6

PAGE 66 * RCVD AT 3/34/2607 3:54:25 PM (Eastern Daylight Year) * 6VR:U8PTO-E7X8F-313 * 0M8:2737306 * C680:292 719 7816 * DURATION (mar-4s):02-68

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PAGE 12/31 * RCVD AT 8/8/2007 6:17:49 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):08-46



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Address Commissioners FOR PATENTS (P.O. Sop. 168)
Alexandria Virginia 22113-1410

PIPLICATION NO	FI).ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/608,257	06/27/2003	Quy P. Veceny	08-044301US	\$338		
33486	7590 04/08/2007	FXAMINER				
HEIMBECHER & ASSOC., LLC P O BOX 33			PEFFLRY, MICHAEL F			
HAMEL, MN 55340-0033			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3739			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					C
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/608,2	57	VANNEY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	•	Michael P	affley	3739	
Doubod to	- The MAILING DAYE of this comm	unication appears on the	pover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress -
Period to	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD	FOR REDI VIO BET T	0 EVENE - MON	TUIES OR THIRTY IS	0) DAV9
WHIC Exte after If NO Falk Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE nations of time may be available under the provide (SIK (8) MONTHS from the making date of this op period for repty is specified above, the maximum into trepty within the set or settended period for re- repty received by the Office states than three moves and patient term ediplasment. See 37 CFR 1704(6)	MAILING DATE OF The set of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no ever minurication. In this set of the	HIS COMMUNICAT INI, however, may a reply to	ION. In timely fled	
Status					
1152	Responsive to communication(s)	Hed on 90 March 2007			
	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is n			
3)	Since this application is in condition			prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the pra-	ctice under Ex perte Ou	layle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213	
Disposit	ion of Claima				
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending	g in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.4.10 a	nd 13 is/are withdrawn	from consideration		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1 and 5-9, 11, 12 and 14				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
비니	Claim(a) are subject to rest	riction and/or election i	equirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 6-27-03 is/				
	Applicant may not request that any of				
ш	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include The path or declaration is objected				
		то ву въе сханичет. Н	Ne the attached Cr	HOE AGBUN OF TORITY	0-102.
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair		der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priori				
	Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copies				Ctenn
	application from the Interna			STAND MI THE LASTOLIST	Siage
* 5	See the attached detailed Office ac			sived.	
Attachmen					
1) Notice	s of References Cited (PTO-892) s of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ms		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0	((*1 4-948) B)	5) D Notice of Inform	al Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Cottor:		

PAGE 14/31 * RCVD AT 8/8/2007 6:17:49 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):08-46

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/608,257

Art Unit: 3739

Finality Withdrawn

The examiner of record has found art deemed to meet the limitations of certain claims. Therefore, the previous Final Office action of November 30, 2006 is hereby vacated. The following action is non-final and includes a new grounds of rejection. It is noted that claims 3, 4, 10 and 13 remain withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the Invention was described in (1) are application for patient, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the Invention by the applicant for patient or (2) a patient granted on an application for patient by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patient, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(e) shall have the effects for purposes of the subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the International application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such the target in the English applicage.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swartz et al (6,080,151).

Swartz et al disclose a catheter apparatus that includes a shaft (21) with an active portion at the distal end of the shaft. Swartz et al provide a plurality of lumens for carrying fluids and wires, and the cross-sectional views of the Figures show that the distal portion is asymmetric. The instant application claims do not point out what specific asymmetry is provided on the catheter device. A cross section that is not symmetrical, as is the case with the Swartz et al device, is deemed to meet the limitations of claim 8.

Page 3

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Underwood et al (6.820.155).

Underwood et al disclose a device that comprises a catheter shaft (312 – Figure 17) having proximal and distal portions. The distal portion is adapted to be inserted into a body cavity to ablate tissue and has a flattened outer peripheral wall (350,352) including electrodes that may be placed against tissue for ablation. Figure 17 shows the distal portion to be "D-shaped". With particular regard to claim 8, Underwood et all also disclose a lumen (380) for the passage of fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the get to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the meaning in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Underwood et al (*155).

Underwood et all has been addressed above. While Underwood et all provide the same "D-shaped" distal end for the catheter device, there is no specific mention of the aspect ratio for the distal end of the "D-shaped" catheter. This aspect ratio is deemed to be an obvious dealign consideration that would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicant's specification fails to provide any criticality or unexpected result associated with the claimed aspect ratio, and the examiner maintains that arriving at

Application/Control Number: 10/0

Page 4

any desired ratio would be well within the purview of the skilled artisan. It is also noted that the Underwood et al device may inherently be within the same aspect ratio range, but that Underwood et al simply fall to specifically disclose an aspect ratio for that portion of the device. To have provided the Underwood et al device with any desired aspect ratio to vary the treatment area size would have been an obvious design consideration for one of ordinary skill in the art.

Double Patentina

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harasament by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPO2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1995); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1048, 29 USPO2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPO 845 (Fed. Cir. 1995); In re Van Ornum, 688 F.2d 937, 214 USPO 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPO 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

Claims 1, 5-9, 11, 12 and 14-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,960,207. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the Instant application claims are broader in scope and recite the same general limitations in a slightly altered order.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/608,257

Art Unit: 3739

Conglusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Petfley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4770. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an epolication may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status Information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Peffley/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

mp May 29, 2007

PAGE 18/31 * RCVD AT 8/8/2007 6:17:49 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):08-46

Notice of References Cited				Application/ 10/608,257	Application/Control No. 10/608,257		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination VANNEY ET AL.	
				Examiner Michael Per	Examiner Michael Pettey		Page 1 of 1	
_	-			U.B. PATENT DOCUM				
Т		Document Number Country Code Number-Kind Code	Deta MM-YYYY		Name		Classification	
t	A	US-6,620,155	09-2003	Underwood at al.			606/32	
t	В	US-6.080.151	06-2000	Swartz et al.			608/45	
t	-	US-						
t	0	US-		1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
t	Ē	US-	<u> </u>	† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
t	F	US-						
t	G	υε						
T	н	US-	Γ					
T	1	US-		7				
T	7	US-						
T	к	US-						
Ī	L.	US-						
Ţ	м	US-						
_				FOREIGN PATENT DO	CUMENTS			
l		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country		lame	Classification	
t	N		1		†	i		
†	0		† — —	İ .	†···			
t	P		1	 	 			
1	٥				1			
1	A			1	1			
1	6						•	
1	T							
		-		HON-PATENT DOCU	MENTE			
J		India	da as applicabl	a: Author, Title Date, Pub	lisher, Edition or Vo	Lime, Perlinent Pages)		
1								
١	U							
4							Ē	
١	v							
١	•							
+	-							
1	w							
ĺ								
1								
1	×			•				
		1						

PAGE 19/31 * RCVD AT 9/8/2007 6:17:49 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/2 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):08-46